



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,697	09/29/2005	Andreas Habich	268118US0PCT	4608
22850	7590	07/16/2008	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PALENIK, JEFFREY T	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1615		
		NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		07/16/2008		ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/528,697	Applicant(s) HABICH ET AL.
	Examiner Jeffrey T. Palenik	Art Unit 1615

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey T. Palenik.

(3) Tom Cunningham (Atty.).

(2) Micheal Woodward (SPE).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Cunningham presented and discussed draft amendments to the elected of the first action on the merits. Re-wording of the independent claim 1 was discussed with regards to amending around the presently rejected claims. Comparison data demonstrating superior and/or unexpected results for overcoming the 103 rejection will be forthcoming from the Attorney/Applicant. Claim language and dependencies were also addressed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/MP WOODWARD/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

/Jeffrey T. Palenik/

Examiner, Art Unit 1615

Examiner's signature, if required